



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,387	09/10/2002	Harri Vatanen	2132-47PCON	8959

7590 06/27/2005

Lance J Lieberman
Cohen Pontani Lieberman & Pavane
Suite 1210
551 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10176

EXAMINER

HA, LEYNNA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2135

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/868,387

Applicant(s)

VATANEN, HARRI

Examiner

LEYNNA T. HA

Art Unit

2135

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 have been examined and are pending.
2. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e).

This is a Non-Final rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. **Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pinder, et al. (US 6,105,134).**

As per claim 1:

Pinder, et al. teaches a method for digitally signing an electronic form in a secure manner by means of a mobile station said method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2135

transferring the material to be signed which comprises the form, its identifier, shared information, and/or essential information added to it **[col. 6, lines 43-45 and col.10, lines 9-11]**, to the mobile station characterized in that a first hash code (H1) is computed from the material to be signed; **[col.8, lines 1-15 and col.13, lines 25-45]**

the material transferred to the mobile station is signed digitally by means of the mobile station; and **[col.6, lines 55-56]**

the authenticity of the signed and transferred material is verified **[col.8, lines 16-28]** by comparing the signed hash code with the first hash code computed from the material before signature. **[col.8, lines 46-49 and col.9, lines 44-47]**

As per claim 2: See col.6, lines 43-45; discussing the first hash code is added to the material to be transferred to the mobile station.

As per claim 3: See col.10, lines 9-11 and col.13, lines 25-45; discussing the material to be signed is generated from an identifier of the form and essential information associated with the form.

As per claim 5: See col.6, line 63 – col.7, line 3; discussing the material is transferred to the mobile station for signature is transferred to a second party and the signed material is transferred to the second party, whereupon the second party verifies the authenticity of the signature.

As per claim 6: See col.5, lines 18-20 and col.8, lines 28-58; discussing the material is encrypted before being transferred between the

Art Unit: 2135

mobile station and the second party and the encrypted material is decrypted before any treatment of the material, such as signature and verification of authenticity.

As per claim 7: See col.10, lines 1-11; discussing the form is generated using a pre-agreed form template provided with an identifier, the essential information being filled in the form template before it is transferred to the mobile station.

As per claim 8: See col.8, lines 16-17; discussing the hash code is generated using a hash function.

As per claim 9: See col.6, lines 55-65 and col.7, lines 10-11; discussing the signature and/or encryption of the message is implemented using a public and private key method.

As per claim 10: See col.6, lines 15-20; discussing the material and/or part of it is presented in the mobile station before the material is signed.

As per claim 11: See col., lines ; discussing wherein the mobile station is started in signature mode before the transfer of the material into the mobile station.

As per claim 12: See col.37, lines 22-23; discussing the material is stamped with a the stamp, and the transaction of signature of the material is tiled after the signature has been authenticated.

Art Unit: 2135

4. Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Curry, et al. (US 6,105,013).

As per claim 13:

Curry, et al. teaches a system for digitally signing an electronic form in a secure manner by means of a mobile station (MS) said system comprising:

a payment machine; **[col.2, lines 45-46 and col.8, line 1]**

means connected to the payment machine for the generation of the material to be signed **[col.3, lines 61-63 and col.4, lines 51-53]** said material comprising a form, its identifier, shared data, and/or essential information added to it; and **[col.7, lines 21-30 and col.15, line 55 – col.16, line 3]**

means connected to the payment machine for the transfer of the material into the mobile station **[col.9, lines 35-39]**, characterized in that the payment machine comprises means for computing a first hash code (H1) from the material to be signed; **[col.7, lines 40-47]**

the mobile station comprise signing means for the signing of the material transferred into it; and **[col.8, lines 8-12 and col.30, lines 16-25]**

the payment machine comprises means for verifying the authenticity of the signed and transferred material by comparing s

Art Unit: 2135

signed hash code (Hlx) with the hash code (H1) computed from the material before signature. **[col.14, lines 45-48]**

As per claim 14: See col.15, lines 55-65; discussing a server connected to the payment machine and the mobile station and controlled by a third party, and the mobile station comprises means for encrypting the signed material.

As per claim 15: See col.8, lines 8-12 and col.15, lines 55-65; discussing the server comprises means for the verification of authenticity of the digital signature.

As per claim 16: See col.9, lines 35-54; discussing the mobile station comprises means for presenting the material and/or part of it in the mobile station before the signing of the material.

As per claim 17: See col.4, lines 40-52; discussing means for stamping the material with a time stamp, and means for filing the transaction of signing of the material after the signature has been authenticated.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEYNNA T. HA whose telephone number is (571) 272-3851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday (7:00 - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LHa


Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2135